



Instructor with Comments Report

2011-12-02 - 2011-12-14 Report ID: MSR04734

Instructor: Khanna,Gaurav
ECON 102 201

	Responses from your Students**							Other Users of This Item*			School/College		
	5	4	3	2	1	Your	University Wide			75%	50%	25%	
	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA	Median	75% Above	50% Above	25% Above	75% Above	50% Above	25% Above
1 Overall, this was an excellent course.	9	5	0	0	0	0	4.72	3.90	4.25	4.70	3.75	4.05	4.36
2 Overall, the instructor was an excellent teacher.	15	0	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.11	4.59	4.85	3.90	4.30	4.72
3 I learned a great deal from this course.	10	4	0	0	0	0	4.80	4.00	4.33	4.69	3.84	4.13	4.43
4 I had a strong desire to take this course.	8	2	2	0	0	1	4.75	3.60	4.08	4.58	3.67	3.99	4.25
120 I learned a good deal of factual material in this course.	11	3	0	0	0	0	4.86	4.00	4.31	4.67			
121 I gained a good understanding of concepts/principles in this field.	8	4	1	1	0	0	4.63	3.97	4.18	4.50			
143 I was stimulated to discuss related topics outside of class.	8	5	0	1	0	0	4.63	3.67	4.06	4.50			
185 The instructor was sensitive to multicultural issues in the classroom.	13	1	0	0	0	1	4.96	4.25	4.63	4.80			
199 The instructor explained material clearly and understandably.	13	2	0	0	0	0	4.92	4.00	4.50	4.78			
200 The instructor handled questions well.	12	2	1	0	0	0	4.88	4.00	4.50	4.77			
206 The instructor seemed to enjoy teaching.	14	1	0	0	0	0	4.96	4.50	4.81	4.94			
209 The instructor was not confused by unexpected questions.	13	2	0	0	0	0	4.92	3.94	4.39	4.73			
210 The instructor was skillful in observing student reactions.	12	2	1	0	0	0	4.88	3.83	4.25	4.70			
215 The instructor maintained an atmosphere of good feeling in class.	14	1	0	0	0	0	4.96	4.38	4.75	4.89			
217 The instructor treated students with respect.	14	1	0	0	0	0	4.96	4.50	4.79	4.90			
219 The instructor was willing to meet and help students outside class.	14	1	0	0	0	0	4.96	4.40	4.71	4.88			
223 Students in this course were free to disagree and ask questions.	6	6	2	0	0	0	4.33	4.30	4.67	4.83			
230 The instructor seemed well prepared for each class.	13	1	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.30	4.67	4.86			
231 The objectives of the course were clearly explained.	8	4	1	0	0	0	4.69	4.00	4.33	4.67			
241 The instructor set high standards for students.	11	4	0	0	0	0	4.82	4.17	4.50	4.75			
327 Reading assignments were interesting and stimulating.	7	5	1	1	0	0	4.50	3.65	4.00	4.30			
330 Reading assignments were relevant to what was presented in class.	6	8	0	0	0	0	4.38	4.00	4.25	4.58			
340 The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course.	7	3	2	1	0	1	4.57	3.43	4.00	4.33			
350 Slides/overhead transparencies were a valuable part of this course.	9	4	0	0	0	1	4.78	3.71	4.14	4.63			
356 Examinations covered the important aspects of the course.	9	4	1	0	0	0	4.72	4.07	4.31	4.64			
360 Exams were reasonable in length and difficulty.	7	3	2	2	0	0	4.50	3.87	4.10	4.50			
364 The test items were adequately explained after a test was given.	6	5	1	2	0	0	4.30	3.86	4.09	4.50			
366 The grading system was clearly explained.	8	4	2	0	0	0	4.63	4.00	4.33	4.64			
370 I attended class regularly.	12	2	0	0	0	0	4.92	4.67	4.83	4.92			
371 I utilized all the learning opportunities provided in this course.	8	4	2	0	0	0	4.63	3.92	4.17	4.50			

Written Comments

900 Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.

Student 1
wonderful gsi, very helpful and interested in the material



Instructor with Comments Report

2011-12-02 - 2011-12-14 Report ID: MSR04734

Instructor: Khanna,Gaurav
ECON 102 201

Student 2

Gaurav was a joyful man who was great at connecting class material all together! As the semester went on he got better and better at explaining things (using different-colored chalk was great; the stapler was helpful as well!). Given that some models have multiple steps, recording each little step and what it does should maybe be done more often. I was a little nervous when he said that it was his first time being a GSI but I was thoroughly impressed throughout this semester. He was very willing to help students outside of his normal discussion and office hours. Great guy!

Student 3

Good course! GSI practice handouts were very nice!

Student 4

NA

Student 5

It was an excellent class, yet not too well structured. Sometimes there was difficulty establishing the order of topics to start the class, but this did not pose a major problem. Overall, I believe if somehow if administrative changes could be made to allow for two discussions it would be helpful in him feeling he's not overwhelmed by the sudden demand for material explanation. Additionally it would help students find how best to study the material.

Student 6

Gaurav is one of the best GSI I HAVE EVER HAD in Michigan. He is a great great teacher!! He would love to stay and teach students whenever they ask questions! Great!!

Student 7

NA

Student 8

NA

Student 9

*Gaurav u r the man! Good look in all your econ grad stuff and beyond. Thanks for helping me out brother-Matt
P.S. That big annoying kid that sat next to me in class that always asked a bunch of questions, I really wanted to just knock him out.*

Student 10

Gaurav was an absolutely fantastic GSI, the best I have had so far at the U of M. He really went out of his way to make sure everyone understood all the concepts, and made the material presented both enjoyable and relatable to the real world. There were times when I felt overwhelmed by the material, and Gaurav did a fantastic job of breaking down all of the concepts and helping me understand how everything was related.

Student 11

NA

Student 12

NA

Student 13

Gaurav was a great GSI for this class. He was always willing to help me outside of class and he explained things very clearly.

Student 14

Guarav claimed this was his first led discussion. This was not evident at all. Guarav did an excellent job of teaching us the complex concepts while catering to our questions and confusions.

Student 15

NA



Instructor with Comments Report

2011-12-02 - 2011-12-14 Report ID: MSR04734

Instructor: Khanna,Gaurav

ECON 102 201

* The quartiles are calculated from Fall 2011 data. The university-wide quartiles are based on all UM classes in which an item was used. The school/college quartiles in this report are based on lower division classes with an enrollment of 16 to 74 students in Division of Social Sciences in the College of LS&A.

** SA - Strongly Agree, A - Agree, N - Neutral, D - Disagree, SD - Strongly Disagree, NA - Not Applicable.



Instructor with Comments Report

2011-12-02 - 2011-12-14 Report ID: MSR04734

Instructor: Khanna,Gaurav
ECON 102 208

	Responses from your Students**							Other Users of This Item*			School/College		
	5	4	3	2	1	Your	University Wide			75%	50%	25%	
	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA	Median	75% Above	50% Above	25% Above	75% Above	50% Above	25% Above
1 Overall, this was an excellent course.	9	6	1	1	0	0	4.56	3.90	4.25	4.70	3.75	4.05	4.36
2 Overall, the instructor was an excellent teacher.	14	3	0	0	0	0	4.89	4.11	4.59	4.85	3.90	4.30	4.72
3 I learned a great deal from this course.	11	4	1	1	0	0	4.73	4.00	4.33	4.69	3.84	4.13	4.43
4 I had a strong desire to take this course.	8	5	2	2	0	0	4.40	3.60	4.08	4.58	3.67	3.99	4.25
120 I learned a good deal of factual material in this course.	13	2	0	1	0	0	4.88	4.00	4.31	4.67			
121 I gained a good understanding of concepts/principles in this field.	12	4	1	0	0	0	4.79	3.97	4.18	4.50			
143 I was stimulated to discuss related topics outside of class.	5	8	0	4	0	0	4.06	3.67	4.06	4.50			
185 The instructor was sensitive to multicultural issues in the classroom.	13	3	0	0	0	1	4.88	4.25	4.63	4.80			
199 The instructor explained material clearly and understandably.	15	2	0	0	0	0	4.93	4.00	4.50	4.78			
200 The instructor handled questions well.	15	1	0	1	0	0	4.93	4.00	4.50	4.77			
206 The instructor seemed to enjoy teaching.	17	0	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.50	4.81	4.94			
209 The instructor was not confused by unexpected questions.	10	5	0	0	1	0	4.70	3.94	4.39	4.73			
210 The instructor was skillful in observing student reactions.	11	3	3	0	0	0	4.73	3.83	4.25	4.70			
215 The instructor maintained an atmosphere of good feeling in class.	14	2	0	1	0	0	4.89	4.38	4.75	4.89			
217 The instructor treated students with respect.	14	3	0	0	0	0	4.89	4.50	4.79	4.90			
219 The instructor was willing to meet and help students outside class.	15	2	0	0	0	0	4.93	4.40	4.71	4.88			
223 Students in this course were free to disagree and ask questions.	14	2	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.30	4.67	4.83			
230 The instructor seemed well prepared for each class.	14	3	0	0	0	0	4.89	4.30	4.67	4.86			
231 The objectives of the course were clearly explained.	12	5	0	0	0	0	4.79	4.00	4.33	4.67			
241 The instructor set high standards for students.	11	6	0	0	0	0	4.73	4.17	4.50	4.75			
327 Reading assignments were interesting and stimulating.	3	5	3	4	0	2	3.60	3.65	4.00	4.30			
330 Reading assignments were relevant to what was presented in class.	5	6	2	1	1	2	4.08	4.00	4.25	4.58			
340 The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course.	6	7	0	3	0	0	4.21	3.43	4.00	4.33			
350 Slides/overhead transparencies were a valuable part of this course.	9	1	0	1	1	5	4.83	3.71	4.14	4.63			
356 Examinations covered the important aspects of the course.	7	7	2	1	0	0	4.29	4.07	4.31	4.64			
360 Exams were reasonable in length and difficulty.	3	9	4	1	0	0	3.89	3.87	4.10	4.50			
364 The test items were adequately explained after a test was given.	4	7	4	2	0	0	3.86	3.86	4.09	4.50			
366 The grading system was clearly explained.	6	8	0	3	0	0	4.19	4.00	4.33	4.64			
370 I attended class regularly.	11	4	1	1	0	0	4.73	4.67	4.83	4.92			
371 I utilized all the learning opportunities provided in this course.	6	6	2	2	0	0	4.17	3.92	4.17	4.50			

Written Comments

900 Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.

Student 1
NA



Instructor with Comments Report

2011-12-02 - 2011-12-14 Report ID: MSR04734

Instructor: Khanna,Gaurav

ECON 102 208

Student 2

Great GSI with lots of enthusiasm. He knew his economics fairly well. He could potentially use real world examples to explain concepts.

Student 3

Good teacher, enthusiastic and entertaining, helped a lot with the material.

Student 4

NA

Student 5

Only covered a few topics in depth instead of ensuring to talk about everything for a little bit

Student 6

NA

Student 7

Gaurav was a great GSI. He made it fun and interesting and always was willing to answer questions. I thought he was very helpful and one of the best Econ GSIs I have had so far.

Student 8

I believe that in general, Econ 102 is one of the most poorly designed courses in the Michigan econ department. Gaurav, however, was the only good thing about this course for me. He was truly outstanding.

Student 9

It was a great class. Work interfered with my attendance about half of the time, but I wish I could have made it to all of the class sessions

Student 10

NA

Student 11

I liked Gaurav alot. He was very informative and was always willing to go the extra mile to help his class. He was very smart and very clear. Gaurav is the man.

Student 12

Without this discussion section the class would have been nearly impossible.

Student 13

NA

Student 14

Overall pretty good. Exams try to trick you.

Student 15

NA

Student 16

He was a fantastic teacher, held extra office hours before the exam. Really wanted to make sure we understood the material and really cared about his students. He is fantastic!!

Student 17

NA



Instructor with Comments Report

2011-12-02 - 2011-12-14 Report ID: MSR04734

Instructor: Khanna, Gaurav

ECON 102 208

* The quartiles are calculated from Fall 2011 data. The university-wide quartiles are based on all UM classes in which an item was used. The school/college quartiles in this report are based on lower division classes with an enrollment of 16 to 74 students in Division of Social Sciences in the College of LS&A.

** SA - Strongly Agree, A - Agree, N - Neutral, D - Disagree, SD - Strongly Disagree, NA - Not Applicable.