



## Instructor with Comments Report

2013-04-11 - 2013-04-24 Report ID: MSR04734

Instructor: Khanna,Gaurav

ECON 102 205

	Responses from your Students**							Other Users of This Item*			School/College		
	5	4	3	2	1	Your	University Wide			75%	50%	25%	
	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA	Median	75% Above	50% Above	25% Above	75% Above	50% Above	25% Above
1 Overall, this was an excellent course.	9	5	0	0	0	0	4.72	3.91	4.30	4.70	3.75	4.07	4.42
2 Overall, the instructor was an excellent teacher.	14	1	0	0	0	0	4.96	4.15	4.61	4.85	4.00	4.50	4.81
3 I learned a great deal from this course.	10	3	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.00	4.36	4.71	3.88	4.15	4.50
4 I had a strong desire to take this course.	5	3	4	2	0	0	3.83	3.68	4.14	4.63	3.63	3.96	4.25
120 I learned a good deal of factual material in this course.	8	4	2	0	0	0	4.63	4.00	4.25	4.67			
121 I gained a good understanding of concepts/principles in this field.	7	6	1	0	0	0	4.50	4.00	4.21	4.56			
143 I was stimulated to discuss related topics outside of class.	4	4	3	1	1	0	3.88	3.67	4.08	4.50			
185 The instructor was sensitive to multicultural issues in the classroom.	11	2	0	0	0	2	4.91	4.20	4.50	4.75			
199 The instructor explained material clearly and understandably.	14	1	0	0	0	0	4.96	4.10	4.54	4.80			
200 The instructor handled questions well.	13	2	0	0	0	0	4.92	4.13	4.55	4.80			
206 The instructor seemed to enjoy teaching.	15	0	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.42	4.77	4.90			
209 The instructor was not confused by unexpected questions.	14	1	0	0	0	0	4.96	4.00	4.45	4.72			
210 The instructor was skillful in observing student reactions.	13	1	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.00	4.42	4.75			
215 The instructor maintained an atmosphere of good feeling in class.	14	1	0	0	0	0	4.96	4.25	4.67	4.87			
217 The instructor treated students with respect.	15	0	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.53	4.79	4.90			
219 The instructor was willing to meet and help students outside class.	12	3	0	0	0	0	4.88	4.38	4.71	4.88			
223 Students in this course were free to disagree and ask questions.	9	5	0	0	0	0	4.72	4.30	4.60	4.80			
230 The instructor seemed well prepared for each class.	13	2	0	0	0	0	4.92	4.33	4.69	4.86			
231 The objectives of the course were clearly explained.	6	7	0	0	0	0	4.43	4.00	4.33	4.63			
241 The instructor set high standards for students.	11	4	0	0	0	0	4.82	4.17	4.55	4.79			
327 Reading assignments were interesting and stimulating.	2	2	2	3	1	3	3.00	3.50	3.94	4.29			
330 Reading assignments were relevant to what was presented in class.	4	3	3	0	1	3	4.00	4.10	4.40	4.75			
340 The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course.	3	3	4	3	1	0	3.25	3.40	4.00	4.50			
350 Slides/overhead transparencies were a valuable part of this course.	4	5	0	0	0	5	4.40	4.00	4.35	4.63			
356 Examinations covered the important aspects of the course.	4	4	2	2	0	1	4.00	4.07	4.32	4.63			
360 Exams were reasonable in length and difficulty.	4	2	2	2	2	1	3.50	3.83	4.13	4.50			
364 The test items were adequately explained after a test was given.	5	4	1	1	1	1	4.25	3.78	4.13	4.50			
366 The grading system was clearly explained.	6	6	0	0	0	1	4.50	4.06	4.40	4.67			
370 I attended class regularly.	11	1	0	1	0	0	4.91	4.60	4.78	4.88			
371 I utilized all the learning opportunities provided in this course.	7	3	2	1	0	0	4.57	3.92	4.17	4.50			

### Written Comments

900 Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.

Student 1

*Instruction was more than adequate. Gaurav is the bomb.*

Date Printed:9/8/2015 15:18:28 PM



## Instructor with Comments Report

2013-04-11 - 2013-04-24 Report ID: MSR04734

**Instructor: Khanna,Gaurav**

**ECON 102 205**

Student 2

NA

Student 3

Great

Student 4

*I really enjoyed taking this class and I feel like I learned a lot. Gaurav is the best GSI that I have had during my time here at the University of Michigan. He really seemed to understand the material, he made class fun and interesting, and I feel like I learned a lot. Because of a busy schedule, I was not able to go to his office hours but he was more than willing to meet at other times or help me over e-mail.*

Student 5

*Honestly one of the best GSI's I've had at this University. Gaurav is extremely intelligent, funny, and knows how to keep students engaged in what we were learning. He did a great job of explaining concepts and preparing us for the exams!*

Student 6

none

Student 7

NA

Student 8

*Gaurav was the best GSI I have had here at the University. Give him a job.*

Student 9

N/A

Student 10

*You rock! you were the best gsi I have had and seriously helped me so much in econ!*

Student 11

*Gaurav's discussion sections were the sole reason I was able to pass this course. Although Professor Stevenson was an excellent professor, Gaurav's explanations of major topics and his help in preparation of exams was crucial to me understanding the material in this course. I often felt I didn't fully understand material we discussed in lecture until I attended discussion and was re-explained the material in a different way. Gaurav was extremely helpful.*

Student 12

NA

Student 13

*The instructor made the class a lot of fun and ensured all the students understood the material. Gaurav also went to lengths to explain confusing sections of the class and had the students learning as the number one priority.*

Student 14

*Gaurav was a great GSI. Sometimes, the students in the class asked repeated questions that made it difficult for me to focus, but this was no fault of Gaurav's. He clearly explained topics and for the most part kept things interesting.*

Student 15

NA



## **Instructor with Comments Report**

2013-04-11 - 2013-04-24 Report ID: MSR04734

**Instructor: Khanna,Gaurav**

**ECON 102 205**

\* The quartiles are calculated from Winter 2013 data. The university-wide quartiles are based on all UM classes in which an item was used. The school/college quartiles in this report are based on lower division classes with an enrollment of 16 to 74 students in Division of Social Sciences in the College of LS&A.

\*\* SA - Strongly Agree, A - Agree, N - Neutral, D - Disagree, SD - Strongly Disagree, NA - Not Applicable.



## Instructor with Comments Report

2013-04-11 - 2013-04-24 Report ID: MSR04734

Instructor: Khanna,Gaurav  
ECON 102 209

	Responses from your Students**							Other Users of This Item*			School/College		
	5	4	3	2	1	Your	University Wide			75%	50%	25%	
	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA	Median	75% Above	50% Above	25% Above	75% Above	50% Above	25% Above
1 Overall, this was an excellent course.	12	5	0	0	0	0	4.79	3.91	4.30	4.70	3.75	4.07	4.42
2 Overall, the instructor was an excellent teacher.	14	3	0	0	0	0	4.89	4.15	4.61	4.85	4.00	4.50	4.81
3 I learned a great deal from this course.	12	5	0	0	0	0	4.79	4.00	4.36	4.71	3.88	4.15	4.50
4 I had a strong desire to take this course.	9	5	3	0	0	0	4.56	3.68	4.14	4.63	3.63	3.96	4.25
120 I learned a good deal of factual material in this course.	12	5	0	0	0	0	4.79	4.00	4.25	4.67			
121 I gained a good understanding of concepts/principles in this field.	13	4	0	0	0	0	4.85	4.00	4.21	4.56			
143 I was stimulated to discuss related topics outside of class.	11	5	1	0	0	0	4.73	3.67	4.08	4.50			
185 The instructor was sensitive to multicultural issues in the classroom.	9	5	2	0	0	1	4.61	4.20	4.50	4.75			
199 The instructor explained material clearly and understandably.	12	4	0	0	0	0	4.83	4.10	4.54	4.80			
200 The instructor handled questions well.	13	4	0	0	0	0	4.85	4.13	4.55	4.80			
206 The instructor seemed to enjoy teaching.	13	4	0	0	0	0	4.85	4.42	4.77	4.90			
209 The instructor was not confused by unexpected questions.	13	3	1	0	0	0	4.85	4.00	4.45	4.72			
210 The instructor was skillful in observing student reactions.	13	2	2	0	0	0	4.85	4.00	4.42	4.75			
215 The instructor maintained an atmosphere of good feeling in class.	14	3	0	0	0	0	4.89	4.25	4.67	4.87			
217 The instructor treated students with respect.	14	3	0	0	0	0	4.89	4.53	4.79	4.90			
219 The instructor was willing to meet and help students outside class.	14	3	0	0	0	0	4.89	4.38	4.71	4.88			
223 Students in this course were free to disagree and ask questions.	13	4	0	0	0	0	4.85	4.30	4.60	4.80			
230 The instructor seemed well prepared for each class.	15	2	0	0	0	0	4.93	4.33	4.69	4.86			
231 The objectives of the course were clearly explained.	13	4	0	0	0	0	4.85	4.00	4.33	4.63			
241 The instructor set high standards for students.	12	5	0	0	0	0	4.79	4.17	4.55	4.79			
327 Reading assignments were interesting and stimulating.	8	1	4	4	0	0	4.00	3.50	3.94	4.29			
330 Reading assignments were relevant to what was presented in class.	9	3	4	1	0	0	4.56	4.10	4.40	4.75			
340 The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course.	7	3	3	4	0	0	4.00	3.40	4.00	4.50			
350 Slides/overhead transparencies were a valuable part of this course.	9	3	2	2	0	1	4.61	4.00	4.35	4.63			
356 Examinations covered the important aspects of the course.	7	4	2	3	0	0	4.25	4.07	4.32	4.63			
360 Exams were reasonable in length and difficulty.	6	3	4	4	0	0	3.67	3.83	4.13	4.50			
364 The test items were adequately explained after a test was given.	7	3	4	3	0	0	4.00	3.78	4.13	4.50			
366 The grading system was clearly explained.	9	4	3	1	0	0	4.56	4.06	4.40	4.67			
370 I attended class regularly.	13	3	0	1	0	0	4.85	4.60	4.78	4.88			
371 I utilized all the learning opportunities provided in this course.	11	4	1	1	0	0	4.73	3.92	4.17	4.50			

### Written Comments

900 Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.

Student 1

*Awesome. Guarav is the best ever.*

Date Printed:9/8/2015 15:18:28 PM



## Instructor with Comments Report

2013-04-11 - 2013-04-24 Report ID: MSR04734

**Instructor: Khanna,Gaurav**

**ECON 102 209**

Student 2

NA

Student 3

*Content of exams does not reflect what is taught/emphasized in lecture.*

*But, Gaurav is amazing! By far one of the best grad student instructors I've had. So funny and upbeat, kind, helpful and approachable, relates well to students through jokes and fun, but stays on task. And always covered in chalk... He really get into his teaching :) He definitely "teaches to the test," but I guess that's what Econ 102 students need, unfortunately. He made an otherwise fairly unpleasant course much, much more bearable. Thanks, Gaurav.*

Student 4

*Gaurav was a great GSI. Consistently cleared up very tough material.*

Student 5

NA

Student 6

NA

Student 7

NA

Student 8

NA

Student 9

NA

Student 10

*Guarav was AMAZZZZING LOVE HIM*

Student 11

*Gaurav was an awesome GSI! He was excellent at explaining even the most confusing of topics and really cared that his students understood the material presented. Discussion was the most useful part about this course as well as the numerous office hours and review sessions he made available just prior to the exams. Overall the best GSI I have had so far!*

Student 12

*Gaurav is the best Econ GSI I have had. He is extremely nice, fun to listen to and super helpful. His extra reviews for us and willingness to explain whenever there were questions or misunderstandings was second to none. He makes sure that everyone is on the same page with learning and explains all topics in great detail. He truly made me feel like he wanted us all to succeed.*

Student 13

*A little too intense for an intro level econ class*

Student 14

*I was uncomfortable in discussion with the number of examples that included alcohol. As someone in early recovery for alcoholism, this was very stressful for me and caused me to leave class at times. It is understandable that one tries to relate to college students in this way, however not everyone drinks and an example with 200 vodka shots is very troubling to a recovering alcoholic. Please consider being more sensitive in the future.*

Student 15



## Instructor with Comments Report

2013-04-11 - 2013-04-24 Report ID: MSR04734

**Instructor: Khanna,Gaurav**

**ECON 102 209**

NA

Student 16

*Gaurav was one of the best GSIs that I have had at Michigan. He really got the students engaged on class material with real-world examples. I would definitely recommend his instruction for Econ 102. The two things that I would changed about this course was going over exams after they were handed back or more emphasis on going over exams 1 on 1 so that people could clearly understand their mistakes. Also, more group discussion on GSI handouts rather than each person working on it separately.*

Student 17

NA

\* The quartiles are calculated from Winter 2013 data. The university-wide quartiles are based on all UM classes in which an item was used. The school/college quartiles in this report are based on lower division classes with an enrollment of 16 to 74 students in Division of Social Sciences in the College of LS&A.

\*\* SA - Strongly Agree, A - Agree, N - Neutral, D - Disagree, SD - Strongly Disagree, NA - Not Applicable.